

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,255	11/02/2001	Irwin Kotovsky	K0T0V-11	1332	
7590 04/07/2005			. EXAMINER		
Ansel M. Schwartz			WARD, JOHN A		
Suite 304 201 N. Craig Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburg, PA 15213			2875		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/005,255	KOTOVSKY, IRWIN	KOTOVSKY, IRWIN		
Examiner	Art Unit			
John A. Ward	2875			

Advisory Action •	10/005,255 KOTOVSKY, IRWIN						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	John A. Ward	2875					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	_				
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		IRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)	•				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	1.37 must be filed within two mon CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (	ths of the date of filing the Notice of of the appeal. Since a Notice of					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	DTE below);					
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendment canceling					
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</li> <li>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ul>							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6,8,9,11 and 14-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence is necessary					
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apporty ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. ☑ Other: <u>See attachment</u> .							
		JOHN ANTHONY WARD					

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/005,255

Art Unit: 2875

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument located on page 6, that the prior art of Kelmelis does not teach or suggest "the holes having edges which are straight, or edges which angle outward, the edges extending inwardly toward the fixture from a front surface of the layer", the examiner refers back to the prior art and how the planar portion 21 has a straight edge (figure 1) which is angle outwards and have a smooth flat outer surface 21.

The argument located on page 7, that the prior art of Hutain does not teach or suggest "the holes having edges which are straight, or edges which angle outward, the edges extending inwardly toward the fixture from a front surface of the layer", the examiner refers back to the prior art and how the planar portion 210 has an edge that angle outward (figure 2E) which is angle outwards and have a smooth flat outer surface 212.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,255

Art Unit: 2875

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAW April 4, 2005

> IOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINER